



RCE/1651-#236
9/18/02

ATTORNEY'S DOCKET NO.: H00535/70009
Date of Mailing: July 23, 2002

REQUEST FOR CONTINUED EXAMINATION (RCE) TRANSMITTAL

Subsection (b) of 35 U.S.C. § 132, effective on May 29, 2000, provides for continued examination of an utility or plant application filed on or after June 8, 1995. See The American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA).

Application Number	09/359,920
Filing Date	July 22, 1999
First Named Inventor	Howard Green
Group Art Unit	1651 Conf. No. 3457
Examiner Name	David M. Naff

This is a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) under 37 C.F.R. § 1.114 of the above-identified application. **NOTE:** 37 C.F.R. § 1.114 is effective on May 29, 2000. If the above-identified application was filed prior to May 29, 2000, **you** may wish to consider filing a continued prosecution application (CPA) under 37 C.F.R. § 1.53 (d) instead of an RCE to be eligible for the patent term adjustment provisions of the AIPA.

1. Submission required under 37 C.F.R. § 1.114

a. Previously submitted

- i. ☐ Consider the amendment(s)/reply under 37 C.F.R. § 1.116 previously filed on _____
(Any unentered amendment(s) referred to above will be entered).
- ii. ☐ Consider the arguments in the Appeal Brief or Reply Brief previously filed on _____
- iii. ☐ Other _____

b. Enclosed is/are:

- i. ☐ Amendment/Reply (including Marked-up Claims)
- ii. ☐ Affidavit(s)/Declaration(s)
- iii. ☒ Information Disclosure Statement (IDS), Form 1449 and cited references
- iv. ☐ Other _____

2. Miscellaneous

- a. ☐ Suspension of action on the above-identified application is requested under 37 C.F.R. § 1.103(c) for a period of _____ months. (Period of suspension shall not exceed 3 months) and the Fee of \$130.00 under 37 C.F.R. § 1.17(i) is enclosed.

- b. ☐ Other _____

3. [X] Applicant claims small entity status, See 37 CFR 1.22.

4. Fees - The RCE fee under 37 C.F.R. § 1.17(e) is required by 37 C.F.R. § 1.114 when the RCE is filed.

- a. ☒ Enclosed is a check in the amount of \$370.00 which covers:

- i. ☒ RCE fee required under 37 C.F.R. § 1.17(e)
- ii. ☐ Extension of time fee (three month) (37 C.F.R. §§ 1.136 and 1.17)
- iii. ☐ Other _____

RECEIVED

JUL 31 2002

TECH CENTER 1600/2900

b. ☐ Please charge Deposit Account No. 23/2825 in the amount of \$ _____ which covers:

☐ RCE fee required under 37 C.F.R. § 1.17(e)

☐ Extension of time fee (37 C.F.R. §§ 1.136 and 1.17)

☐ Other

5. If the filing of this RCE necessitates an extension of time under 37 CFR §1.136(a), the applicant hereby requests such extension of time.

6. If there is no check enclosed, or if the amount of the enclosed check in this RCE is incorrect, the Director is hereby authorized to charge any deficiency or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 23/2825.

7. CORRESPONDENCE ADDRESS

Correspondence address below

CUSTOMER NUMBER:



23628

OR

AGENT'S NAME	Maria A. Trevisan, Reg. No. 48,207				
FIRM NAME	Wolf, Greenfield & Sacks, P.C.				
ADDRESS	600 Atlantic Avenue				
CITY	Boston	STATE	MA	ZIP	02210
COUNTRY	USA	TELEPHONE	(617) 720-3500	FAX	(617) 720-2441

8. SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT REQUIRED

NAME	Maria A. Trevisan, Reg. No. 48,207
SIGNATURE	
DATE	July 23, 2002

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING UNDER 37 C.F.R. §1.8(a)

The undersigned hereby certifies that this document is being placed in the United States mail with first-class postage attached, addressed to **BOX RCE**, Commissioner for Patents, Washington, D.C. 20231, on July 23, 2002.

Maria A. Trevisan



#22
9/18/02

ATTORNEY'S DOCKET NO: H00535/70009 (MAT)

THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant: Howard Green
Serial No: 09/359,920
Filed: July 22, 1999
For: TRANSGLUTAMINASE LINKAGE OF AGENTS TO TISSUE
Confirmation No. 3457

RECEIVED

JUL 31 2002

Examiner: David M. Naff
Art Unit: 1651

TECH CENTER 1600/2900

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING UNDER 37 C.F.R. §1.8(a)

The undersigned hereby certifies that this document is being placed in the United States mail with first-class postage attached, addressed to BOX RCE, Commissioner for Patents, Washington, D.C. 20231, on the 23rd day of July 2002.

Maria A. Trevisan, Reg. No. 48,207

BOX RCE
Commissioner for Patents
Washington, D.C. 20231

STATEMENT FILED PURSUANT TO THE DUTY OF
DISCLOSURE UNDER 37 CFR §§1.56, 1.97 AND 1.98

Sir:

Pursuant to the duty of disclosure under 37 C.F.R. §§1.56, 1.97 and 1.98, the Applicant requests consideration of this Information Disclosure Statement.

PART I: Compliance with 37 C.F.R. §1.97

This Information Disclosure Statement has been filed before the mailing of a first Office Action after the filing of a request for continued examination under 37 C.F.R. §1.114.

No fee or certification is required.

PART II: - Information Cited

The Applicant hereby makes of record in the above-identified application the information listed on the attached form PTO-1449 (modified). The order of presentation of the references should not be construed as an indication of the importance of the references.

PART III: Remarks

A copy of each of the above-identified information is enclosed unless otherwise indicated on the attached form PTO-1449 (modified). It is respectfully requested that:

1. The Examiner consider completely the cited information, along with any other information, in reaching a determination concerning the patentability of the present claims;
2. The enclosed form PTO-1449 be signed by the Examiner to evidence that the cited information has been fully considered by the Patent and Trademark Office during the examination of this application;
3. The citations for the information be printed on any patent which issues from this application.

By submitting this Information Disclosure Statement, the Applicant makes no representation that a search has been performed, of the extent of any search performed, or that more relevant information does not exist.

By submitting this Information Disclosure Statement, the Applicant makes no representation that the information cited in the Statement is, or is considered to be, material to patentability as defined in 37 C.F.R. §1.56(b).

By submitting this Information Disclosure Statement, the Applicant makes no representation that the information cited in the Statement is, or is considered to be, in fact, prior art as defined by 35 U.S.C. §102.

Notwithstanding any statements by the Applicant, the Examiner is urged to form his own conclusion regarding the relevance of the cited information.

Respectfully submitted,
Howard Green, Applicant

By: 

Maria A. Trevisan, Reg No. 48,207
Wolf, Greenfield & Sacks, P.C.
600 Atlantic Avenue
Boston, MA 02210
Telephone (617) 720-3500

Docket No. H00535/70009 (MAT)

Dated: July 23, 2002

xNDD